NOTES

Temperature-Dependence of the Diffusion Constants of Rigid, Closed-Cell Foams

It has been shown¹ that the diffusion of gases in rigid, closed-cell foams obey Fick's Law and that the diffusion coefficient D_f can be predicted from the following expression

$$D_{\rm f} = (3RT/M)(\rho_0/\rho)P_{\rm e} \tag{1}$$

where $D_{\rm f}$ is the diffusion coefficient of the foam, $P_{\rm e}$ is the permeation constant of the solid polymer-gas system, ρ_0 is the density of the solid polymer, ρ is the density of the foam, T is the absolute temperature, M is the molecular weight of the diffusing gas, and R is the gas constant. The validity of this equation was confirmed at room temperature from experimentally measured values of $D_{\rm f}$ as a function of ρ .

By using eq. (1), it should also be possible to evaluate D_t at any temperature from the knowledge of the temperature dependence of P_{e} , which is known² to obey an Arrhenius relation

$$P_{\rm e} = P_{\rm e0} \exp\{-\Delta H_{\rm p}/RT\}$$
⁽²⁾

where ΔH_p is the activation energy of permeation. Substituting eq. (2) into eq. (1) and rearranging results in

$$\ln(D_f/T) = -(\Delta H_p/RT) + \ln(3R\rho_0 P_{e0}/M\rho)$$
(3)

Inasmuch as the ratio ρ_0/ρ is temperature-independent, the last term is a constant, and hence a straight-line relationship between $\ln D_t/T$ and 1/T is predicted.

In Table I are given values of D_t obtained at four temperatures for a rigid, closed-cell (>95%) polyurethane foam of density 4.5 lb/ft³ (Emerson and Cuming, Incorporated, Eccofoam FPH/12/6H). The experimental details have been reported previously.¹ The data which are plotted in Figure 1 as $\ln D_t/T$ versus 1/T show a reasonably good straight line relationship. The slope yields for ΔH_p a value of 7.4 kcal/mole. This value is in excellent agreement with the usual 6-12 kcal/mole range for the activation energies for diffusion processes in polymers.²

For the particular case of the permeation of CO_2 through a rigid polyurethane, no data were found in the literature. However, some data³ were found for a rubbery polyurethane, and these are given in Table II. The activation energy for permeation ΔH_p was found to be 9.4 kcal/mole, and this compares favorably with the value of 7.4 kcal/ mole. Further, it is expected that ΔH_p for the rigid polyurethane should be lower than that for the rubbery polyurethane, since it has been adequately verified² that the activation energy of a polymer above its T_q is higher than that value obtained when the polymer is below its T_q . Hence the 9.4 kcal/mole corresponds to a polyurethane above T_q , whereas the 7.4 kcal/mole is for a polyurethane below T_g .

Temperature, °C	$D imes 10^{6}$, cm²/sec	$D/T imes 10^9$, cm²/sec °K	1/T, °K $ imes$ 10 ³
22	1.76	5.96	3.39
41	3.34	10.6	3.18
61	9.57	28.6	2,99
81	13.7	38.7	2.82

TABLE I Diffusion Constants of a Polyurathana Form at Various Temperatures



Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of the diffusion coefficient for a CO₂-filled rigid polyurethane foam, density 4.5 lb/ft³.

TABLE II Results for CO₂ Permeation Through a Rubbery Polyurethane^{a,b}

Temperature, °C	$P_{ m e} imes 10^{ m 9}$, cm ³ STP-mm/ cm ² -sec-cmHg	$D imes 10^6,$ cm ² /sec	Solubility, cm³ STP/cm³-atm
25	1.85	0.094	1.50
50	6.34	0.440	1.10

^a Data for Vulcaprene polyurethane rubber.³

^b Activation energies: $\Delta H_p = 9.4$ kcal/mole (for permeation); $\Delta H_d = 11.7$ kcal/mole (for diffusion); $\Delta H_8 = -2.3$ kcal/mole (for solubility).

This paper represents one phase of research performed by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology sponsored by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Contract NAS7-100.

References

1. E. F. Cuddihy and J. Moacanin, J. Cellular Plastics, 3, No. 2, 73 (1967).

2. C. E. Rogers, in Engineering Design for Plastics, Reinhold, New York, 1964, Chap. 9.

3. G. J. Van Amerongen, J. Polym. Sci., 5, 307 (1950).

E. F. CUDDIHY J. MOACANIN

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91103

Received June 17, 1968

252